1	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT CO	URT	
2	DISTRICT (OF NEVADA		
3	SANDRA M. MEZA-PEREZ,	Case No.:	2:19-cv-00373-APG-EJY	
4	Plaintiff	\mathbf{v}	ERDICT FORM	
5	v.		FILEDRECEIVRECEIVSERVE	
6	SBARRO LLC, et al.,		COUNSEL/PARTIES OF REC	
7	Defendants		SEP 2 - 2022	
8	<u>veri</u>	<u>DICT</u>	CLERK US DISTRICT COUR DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9	We, the jury, upon our oaths, do say:		BY:D	PU
10	CLAIMS AGAINST DEFE	NDANT ZACH	IARY CEBALLES	
11	Batt	tery		
12	Has Sandra Meza-Perez proved by a p	reponderance of	the evidence her battery claim	
13	against Zachary Ceballes?			
14	Yes No			
15	If you answered "Yes" to question 1, prod	ceed to question	2. If you answered "No" to	
16	question 1, proceed to question 5.			
17				
18	2. What is the amount of damages Meza-	Perez has prove	d by a preponderance of the	
19	evidence that would reasonably compensate her f	for the battery?		
20	\$			
21	Proceed to question 3.			
22				
23				

1	3. Has Meza-Perez proved by clear and convincing evidence that she is entitled to
2	punitive damages on her battery claim?
3	Yes No
4	If you answered "Yes" to question 3, proceed to question 4. If you answered "No" to
5	question 3, proceed to question 5.
6	
7	4. What is the amount of punitive damages you award Meza-Perez on her battery claim?
8	\$
9	Proceed to question 5.
10	
11	Assault
12	
13	5. Has Meza-Perez proved by a preponderance of the evidence her assault claim against
14	Ceballes?
15	Yes No
16	If you answered "Yes" to question 5, proceed to question 6. If you answered "No" to
17	question 5, proceed to question 9.
18	
19	6. What is the amount of damages Meza-Perez has proved by a preponderance of the
20	evidence that would reasonably compensate her for the assault?
21	\$
22	Proceed to question 7.

1	7. Has Meza-Perez proved by clear and convincing evidence that she is entitled to
2	punitive damages on her assault claim?
3	Yes No
4	If you answered "Yes" to question 7, proceed to question 8. If you answered "No" to
5	question 7, proceed to question 9.
6	
7	8. What is the amount of punitive damages you award Meza-Perez on her assault claim?
8	\$
9	Proceed to question 9.
10	
11	False Imprisonment
12	
13	9. Has Meza-Perez proved by a preponderance of the evidence her false imprisonment
14	claim against Ceballes?
15	Yes No
16	If you answered "Yes" to question 9, proceed to question 10. If you answered "No" to
17	question 9, proceed to question 13.
18	
19	10. What is the amount of damages Meza-Perez has proved by a preponderance of the
20	evidence that would reasonably compensate her for the false imprisonment?
21	\$
22	Proceed to question 11.
22	

1	11. Has Meza-Perez proved by clear and convincing evidence that she is entitled to
2	punitive damages on her false imprisonment claim?
3	Yes No
4	If you answered "Yes" to question 11, proceed to question 12. If you answered "No" to
5	question 11, proceed to question 13.
6	
7	12. What is the amount of punitive damages you award Meza-Perez on her false
8	imprisonment claim?
9	\$
10	Proceed to question 13.
11	
12	Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress
13	
14	13. Has Meza-Perez proved by a preponderance of the evidence her intentional infliction
15	of emotional distress claim against Ceballes?
16	Yes No
17	If you answered "Yes" to question 13, proceed to question 14. If you answered "No" to
18	question 13, proceed to question 17.
19	
20	14. What is the amount of damages Meza-Perez has proved by a preponderance of the
21	evidence that would reasonably compensate her for the intentional infliction of emotional
22	distress?
23	\$

1	Proceed to question 15.
2	
3	15. Has Meza-Perez proved by clear and convincing evidence that she is entitled to
4	punitive damages on her intentional infliction of emotional distress claim?
5	Yes No
6	If you answered "Yes" to question 15, proceed to question 16. If you answered "No" to
7	question 15, proceed to question 17.
8	
9	16. What is the amount of punitive damages you award Meza-Perez on her intentional
10	infliction of emotional distress claim?
11	\$
12	Proceed to question 17.
13	
14	17. For all claims against Ceballes, what is the total amount of damages you award
15	Meza-Perez?
16	Compensatory: \$
17	Punitive: \$O
18	Proceed to question 18.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT SBARRO

2	Title VII Hostile Work Environment Created by Supervisor
3	18. Has Meza-Perez proved by a preponderance of the evidence that she was subjected to
4	a hostile work environment created by her supervisor at Sbarro, Zachary Ceballes?
5	Yes No
6	If you answered "Yes" to question 18, proceed to question 19. If you answered "No" to
7	question 18, proceed to question 25.
8	
9	19. Has Meza-Perez proved by a preponderance of the evidence that she suffered a
10	tangible employment action related to the hostile work environment created by her supervisor at
11	Sbarro, Zachary Ceballes?
12	Yes No
13	If you answered "Yes" to question 19, proceed to question 22. If you answered "No" to
14	question 19, proceed to question 20.
15	
16	20. Has Sbarro proved by a preponderance of the evidence that it exercised reasonable
17	care to prevent and promptly correct the sexually harassing behavior?
18	Yes No
19	If you answered "Yes" to question 20, proceed to question 21. If you answered "No" to
20	question 20, proceed to question 22.
21	
22	
23	
,,,	

1	21. Has Sbarro proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Meza-Perez
2	unreasonably failed to take advantage of any preventive or corrective opportunities provided by
3	Sbarro, or unreasonably failed to otherwise avoid harm?
4	Yes No
5	If you answered "Yes" to question 21, proceed to question 25. If you answered "No" to
6	question 21, proceed to question 22.
7	
8	22. What is the amount of damages Meza-Perez has proved by a preponderance of the
9	evidence that would reasonably compensate her for the hostile work environment created by her
10	supervisor at Sbarro, Zachary Ceballes?
11	\$
12	Proceed to question 23.
13	
14	23. Has Meza-Perez proved by a preponderance of the evidence that she is entitled to
15	punitive damages for the hostile work environment created by her supervisor at Sbarro, Zachary
16	Ceballes?
17	Yes No
18	If you answered "Yes" to question 23, proceed to question 24. If you answered "No" to
19	question 23, proceed to question 25.
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	24. What is the amount of punitive damages Meza-Perez has proved by a preponderance
2	of the evidence should be awarded for the hostile work environment created by her supervisor at
3	Sbarro, Zachary Ceballes?
4	\$
5	Proceed to question 25.
6	
7	Title VII Quid Pro Quo Sexual Harassment by Zachary Ceballes
8	
9	25. Has Meza-Perez proved by a preponderance of the evidence that she was subjected to
10	quid pro quo sexual harassment by her supervisor at Sbarro, Zachary Ceballes?
11	Yes No
12	If you answered "Yes" to question 25, proceed to question 26. If you answered "No" to
13	question 25, proceed to question 32.
۱4	
15	26. Has Meza-Perez proved by a preponderance of the evidence that she suffered a
16	tangible employment action related to the quid pro quo sexual harassment by her supervisor at
ا7	Sbarro, Zachary Ceballes?
18	Yes No
19	If you answered "Yes" to question 26, proceed to question 29. If you answered "No" to
20	question 26, proceed to question 27.
21	
22	
23	

1	27. Has Sbarro proved by a preponderance of the evidence that it exercised reasonable
2	care to prevent and promptly correct the sexually harassing behavior?
3	Yes No
4	If you answered "Yes" to question 27, proceed to question 28. If you answered "No" to
5	question 27, proceed to question 29.
6	
7	28. Has Sbarro proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Meza-Perez
8	unreasonably failed to take advantage of any preventive or corrective opportunities provided by
9	Sbarro, or unreasonably failed to otherwise avoid harm?
10	Yes No
11	If you answered "Yes" to question 28, proceed to question 32. If you answered "No" to
12	question 28, proceed to question 29.
13	
۱4	29. What is the amount of damages Meza-Perez has proved by a preponderance of the
15	evidence that would reasonably compensate her for the quid pro quo sexual harassment by her
16	supervisor at Sbarro, Zachary Ceballes?
17	\$
8	Proceed to question 30.
9	
20	30. Has Meza-Perez proved by a preponderance of the evidence that she is entitled to
21	punitive damages for the quid pro quo sexual harassment by her supervisor at Sbarro, Zachary
22	Ceballes?
23	Yes No

1	If you answered "Yes" to question 30, proceed to question 31. If you answered "No" to
2	question 30, proceed to question 32.
3	
4	31. What is the amount of punitive damages Meza-Perez has proved by a preponderance
5	of the evidence should be awarded for the quid pro quo sexual harassment by her supervisor at
6	Sbarro, Zachary Ceballes?
7	\$
8	Proceed to question 32.
9	
10	Title VII Hostile Work Environment Created by Coworker
11	
12	32. Has Meza-Perez proved by a preponderance of the evidence her claim of a hostile
13	work environment created by her coworker at Sbarro, Efrain Hernandez or Jesus Alatorre?
14	Yes No
15	If you answered "Yes" to question 32, proceed to question 33. If you answered "No" to
16	question 32, proceed to question 36.
17	
18	33. What is the amount of damages Meza-Perez has proved by a preponderance of the
19	evidence that would reasonably compensate her for the hostile work environment created by her
20	coworker at Sbarro, Efrain Hernandez or Jesus Alatorre?
21	\$
22	Proceed to question 34.
221	

1	34. Has Meza-Perez proved by a preponderance of the evidence that she is entitled to
2	punitive damages for the hostile work environment created by her coworker at Sbarro, Efrain
3	Hernandez or Jesus Alatorre?
4	Yes No
5	If you answered "Yes" to question 34, proceed to question 35. If you answered "No" to
6	question 34, proceed to question 36.
7	
8	35. What is the amount of punitive damages Meza-Perez has proved by a preponderance
9	of the evidence should be awarded for the hostile work environment created by her coworker at
10	Sbarro, Efrain Hernandez or Jesus Alatorre?
11	\$
12	Proceed to question 36.
13	
14	Title VII Retaliation
15	
16	36. Has Meza-Perez proved by a preponderance of the evidence her retaliation claim
17	against Sbarro based on the claim that she complained to Zachary Ceballes about harassment
18	from coworkers, and that in response, Ceballes began harassing her?
19	Yes No
20	If you answered "Yes" to question 36, proceed to question 37. If you answered "No" to
21	question 36, proceed to question 40.
22	
23	

1	37. What is the amount of damages Meza-Perez has proved by a preponderance of the
2	evidence that would reasonably compensate her for the retaliation?
3	\$
4	Proceed to question 38.
5	
6	38. Has Meza-Perez proved by a preponderance of the evidence that she is entitled to
7	punitive damages for the retaliation?
8	Yes No
9	If you answered "Yes" to question 38, proceed to question 39. If you answered "No" to
10	question 39, proceed to question 40.
11	
12	39. What is the amount of punitive damages Meza-Perez has proved by a preponderance
13	of the evidence should be awarded for the retaliation?
14	\$
15	Proceed to question 40.
16	
17	40. Has Meza-Perez proved by a preponderance of the evidence her retaliation claim
18	against Sbarro based on the claim that once she stopped ceding to Ceballes' demands for sex, he
19	transferred her to a different Sbarro store to work the graveyard shift?
20	Yes No
21	If you answered "Yes" to question 40, proceed to question 41. If you answered "No" to
22	question 40, proceed to question 44.
23	

- 1	
1	41. What is the amount of damages Meza-Perez has proved by a preponderance of the
2	evidence that would reasonably compensate her for the retaliation?
3	\$
4	Proceed to question 42.
5	
6	42. Has Meza-Perez proved by a preponderance of the evidence that she is entitled to
7	punitive damages for the retaliation?
8	Yes No
9	If you answered "Yes" to question 42, proceed to question 43. If you answered "No" to
10	question 43, proceed to question 44.
11	
12	43. What is the amount of punitive damages Meza-Perez has proved by a preponderance
13	of the evidence should be awarded for the retaliation?
14	\$
15	Proceed to question 44.
16	
17	44. For the claims against Sbarro, what is the total amount of damages you award Meza-
18	Perez?
19	Compensatory: \$
20	Punitive: \$
21	
22	DATED this 2 day of September, 2022.
23	
	FOREPERSON